

Candidates for three-quasiparticle K -isomers in even-odd Fm-Cn nuclei

P. Jachimowicz¹, M. Kowal², and J. Skalski²

¹*Institute of Physics, University of Zielona Góra,
Z. Szafrana 4a, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland and*

²*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Pasteura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

In my talk, I will present the results of our extensive search for candidates of three-quasiparticle (3-q.p.) high- K isomers in the even-odd Fm-Cn nuclei with neutron numbers $N = 141 - 173$. This study builds upon our previous work on odd-even Md-Rg nuclei [1]. Significant axially symmetric deformation in both the ground and excited states in this region makes K a "good quantum number." Furthermore, our earlier calculations suggest that the reflection-asymmetric axial deformations of these nuclei are either negligible or absent [2], ensuring a well-defined intrinsic parity.

The analysis is performed within the macroscopic-microscopic approach, using the Yukawa-plus-exponential macroscopic energy [3] and the deformed Woods-Saxon single-particle potential [4]. The model parameters, well-tested for heavy nuclei, remain unchanged. We employ two pairing methods: the quasiparticle BCS approach and the particle number projection formalism. Energies for both ground states and $2\pi 1\nu$ 3-q.p. configurations are determined by minimizing with respect to four axially- and reflection-symmetric deformations: β_2 , β_4 , β_6 , and β_8 .

I will highlight the most promising high- K isomer candidates and, where possible, compare them with available experimental data. Our selection criterion is based on identifying low-lying high- K 3-q.p. configurations. However, determining whether a candidate is a true isomer, in the context of hindered electromagnetic decay, remains a challenge given the current state of theoretical models.

[1] P. Jachimowicz, M. Kowal, and J. Skalski, Phys. Rev. C **108** (2023) 064309.

[2] P. Jachimowicz, M. Kowal, J. Skalski, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables **138** (2021) 101393.

[3] H. J. Krappe, J. R. Nix, and A. J. Sierk, Phys. Rev. C **20** (1979) 992.

[4] S. Cwiok *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **46** (1987) 379.